

# Exploring the Use of Credit Scores in Selection Processes: Beware of Adverse Impact

Sabrina D. Volpone · Scott Tonidandel ·  
Derek R. Avery · Safiya Castel

Published online: 14 June 2014  
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2014

## Abstract

**Purpose** The use of credit checks or credit scores in personnel selection has received widespread media attention of late. Though there is speculation that basing hiring decisions (even partially) on credit-related variables may produce or increase adverse impact, virtually no empirical literature exists to support or refute this claim. The present study explores the impact of using credit scores, in the context of a larger selection system, on adverse impact.

**Design/Methodology/Approach** We conducted Monte Carlo simulations representing various real-world selection systems (i.e., multiple hurdle, multiple hurdle with cut-off score, single hurdle). In addition to applicant credit scores, each simulation included variables that organizations commonly use during selection (i.e., educational background, personality).

**Findings** Results showed that in a majority of simulated hiring scenarios, using credit scores (as opposed to a random, race-neutral variable) widened the Black-White gap in hiring, producing more violations of the 4/5ths rule and statistically significant adverse impact.

**Implications** These results imply that organizations should be cautious when using credit scores to evaluate potential or current employees for jobs.

**Originality/Value** This is one of the first studies to provide empirical evidence of a relationship between credit scores in selection and adverse impact. The use of simulations helps organizations be proactive in regards to choosing selection practices. Our results in particular pinpoint the situations where implementing credit scores as part of a larger selection process might be most problematic in terms of adverse impact, thereby providing much needed guidance to those considering credit scores for their selection processes.

---

S. D. Volpone (✉)  
Anderson School of Management, University of New Mexico,  
1 University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131-0001,  
USA  
e-mail: svolpone@unm.edu

S. Tonidandel  
Department of Psychology, PO Box 7061, Davidson,  
NC 28035-7061, USA  
e-mail: sctonidandel@davidson.edu

D. R. Avery  
Fox School of Business, Temple University, 1801 Liacouras  
Walk, Philadelphia, PA 19122, USA  
e-mail: dravery@temple.edu

S. Castel  
Anderson School of Management, University of California,  
Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA  
e-mail: scastel19@gmail.com

**Keywords** Credit score · Monte Carlo simulation ·  
Selection systems · Adverse impact · 4/5ths rule ·  
Employment discrimination · Disparate impact

## Introduction

Selection decisions are a critical part of organizational functioning, as few things are as important as choosing the right employees to hire (Pfeffer 1998). Accordingly, the tools used in selection are under constant scrutiny, particularly regarding their ability to screen applicants both validly and fairly (Ababneh et al. 2013; Outtz 2009). Recently, the use of credit-related variables (e.g., credit checks, credit scores) in the hiring process has been debated widely in the popular press (SHRM 2010). Partial interest in this topic stems from concerns that the inclusion of credit checks and